International Journal of Scientific Research in Modern Science and Technology
ISSN: 2583 -7605 (Online)
© IJSRMST | Vol. 3 | Issue 9 | September 2024
Available online at: https://ijsrmst.com/
DOI: https://doi.org/10.59828/ijsrmst.v3i9.252

Barriers in the Effective Use of Educational Technology by Teachers

Dr. Shiv Prakash

Assistant professor, Department of Education, Sai Nath University Ranchi

Email: spvishwakarma81@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

The research paper investigates the obstacles which stop teachers from using educational technology in their classroom teaching. The implementation of technology shows inconsistent results because schools have made significant investments in infrastructure and they acknowledge the teaching advantages of technology. The study identifies barriers through a systematic literature review which analyzes external resource limitations inadequate infrastructure insufficient support and time constraints together with internal technological anxiety and insufficient pedagogical knowledge and resistance to change and limited self-efficacy. The research uses Ertmer's framework which separates first-order barriers from second-order barriers to study how extrinsic barriers and intrinsic belief systems block technology integration. The study found that first-order barriers have decreased but second-order barriers which stem from teacher beliefs and pedagogical knowledge and institutional culture remain the main barriers. The study identifies professional development which focuses on pedagogical integration needs institutional support academic communities and sufficient planning time and organizational cultural change toward innovation as essential elements for overcoming barriers. The recommendations target three groups which include policymakers' administrators and professional development designers.

Keywords: *Educational Technology, Barriers Effective, Pedagogical Knowledge, Teacher self-efficiency.*

1. Introduction

1.1 Background

Educational technology integration has become a central priority in contemporary education systems worldwide because educational institutions recognize digital competencies as essential skills for student success in modern society and workforce participation. Governments and educational institutions have invested billions in technological infrastructure, devices, software, and connectivity, expecting transformative improvements in teaching and learning. The actual use of technology in classrooms remains below expectations because schools have access to technology resources yet teachers employ those

resources in an inconsistent manner (Ertmer et al., 2012). The research shows that teachers do not use technology in their classrooms according to its full potential because they either use it at a basic level or completely disregard it.

Teachers act as the essential gatekeepers who decide which technology will be used in classrooms and what methods teachers will use to implement those technologies. Technology implementation requires teachers to demonstrate their willingness and ability and their confidence to use digital tools for classroom instruction because infrastructure and device access do not determine successful technology usage. The Educational Technology benefits require us to identify the barriers that stop teachers from using technology in classrooms. The barriers exist at four different levels which include institutional and environmental and personal and pedagogical aspects that create intricate challenges which need to be solved through multiple approaches (Hew & Brush, 2007).

1.2 Theoretical Framework

The research uses Ertmer's framework from 1999 which separates technology integration hurdles into first-order barriers and second-order barriers. Teachers experience first-order barriers because they lack proper technology access and they do not have enough time and they lack technical support and they have restricted training options. The extrinsic obstacles become obvious to others because the resources need to be distributed and the organization needs to go through changes. Teachers face second-order barriers which stem from their teaching beliefs and their established teaching methods and their unwillingness to adopt new methods and their own technology proficiency. The intrinsic barriers become more challenging to detect and overcome because they tend to remain after first-order barriers have been eliminated.

The Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) extends its theoretical framework by demonstrating that technology adoption depends on users' assessment of its useful functions and its user-friendly design (Davis, 1989).Teachers will adopt technology into their teaching methods when they think it will improve student learning results and they possess self-assurance about using the technology. The TPACK framework (Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge) shows that teachers need multiple knowledge areas to successfully implement technology because technological knowledge needs to be combined with pedagogical knowledge and content knowledge (Mishra & Koehler, 2006).

1.3 Research Objectives

The research project will accomplish its objectives through five specific research goals that include the following tasks: (1) researchers will discover and classify all significant obstacles which prevent teachers from using technology in their work; (2) the study will assess which barrier types have the strongest and most enduring effects; (3) the research will investigate the ways different barriers work together to create difficulties in technology integration; (4) the study will assess all available methods which can help to overcome the identified obstacles; the study will identify evidence-based best practices which help teachers to successfully integrate technology into their teaching.

2. Methodology

The research conducted in this study used systematic literature review methodology to combine different studies which examined how teachers struggle with implementing technology in their classrooms. The review assessed research published between 2000 and 2025 which included peer-reviewed journal articles and research reports and meta-analyses and empirical studies. The researchers conducted database searches through ERIC and Web of Science and Google Scholar and education technology journals by using the keywords 'teacher barriers' and 'technology integration' and 'educational technology obstacles' and 'teacher professional development' and 'technology adoption' and 'pedagogical integration'.

The inclusion criteria required studies to meet three requirements which specified that (1) K-12 and higher education teacher populations had to be studied and (2) research had to investigate technology integration barriers and (3) research had to implement valid research methods through either surveys or interviews or case studies or mixed methods. The review examined research from various geographic regions and educational levels and subject fields to find common patterns while recognizing unique contextual differences. The researchers applied Ertmer's framework to classify studies based on barrier type through coding and categorization.

3. Literature Review and Findings

3.1 First-Order Barriers: External Obstacles

Resource and Infrastructure Limitations. The teachers according to their reports have device access issues because their schools have made technological investments which still leave them with unreliable internet access and missing software programs. The student-to-device ratios which create problems require students to follow scheduled times for technology use instead of using it whenever they need to. The combination of outdated equipment and incompatible software together with insufficient bandwidth causes users to experience difficulties which prevent them from using the system (Blackwell, Lauricella, & Wartella, 2014). The digital divide now shows improved access yet low-income teachers still face substantial resource deficiencies which create difficulties in their work.

Insufficient Technical Support. The main obstacle for organizations exists because they do not have dependable technical support services. Technology malfunctions without immediate assistance create disruptions consuming instructional time and generating anxiety. The lack of dedicated support staff in many schools forces users to either solve their own problems or stop using technology (Baylor & Ritchie, 2002). Teachers prefer to avoid technology integration because they see slow response times as a risk for unreliable performance.

The time limitations create difficulties. The shortage of available time operates at multiple organizational levels. The process of planning lessons with technological integration demands more time than educators need for standard teaching methods. Teachers need to spend time on three different activities which include tool exploration, material creation, and software learning, but their current workload leaves them no time for these tasks. The limited teacher release time does not provide sufficient opportunities for effective

professional development. The curriculum pacing requirements restrict teachers from testing new teaching methods according to Kopcha (2012) research.

Teachers require better professional development programs. The technology training programs which schools offer to teachers do not meet their needs because the training occurs at wrong times and uses incorrect content. The one-time workshops teach users how to operate tools but do not help them learn how to use the tools in educational settings. The training programs show users new tools but they lack connections to curriculum and methods for actual usage. Development programs without specific subject application methods do not achieve successful outcomes. Teachers require continuous professional development through workplace training which includes actual teaching demonstrations, personalized guidance, and chances to test new ideas according to Lawless and Pellegrino 2007.

3.2 Second-Order Barriers: Internal Obstacles

Teachers show basic ability to use technology but they do not know how to use it for teaching purposes which leads to better student outcomes. The TPACK framework shows that proper integration requires both technological understanding and teaching methods and subject matter expertise. Teachers need help selecting suitable educational tools which match their teaching objectives while creating technology-based lessons and conducting assessments to measure learning results from technology use (Koehler & Mishra, 2009). Instructors use technology at a superficial level because they lack essential knowledge which leads them to replace physical activities with digital counterparts while maintaining traditional teaching methods without utilizing the distinct advantages which technology provides.

Teacher Beliefs and Pedagogical Philosophies. The core beliefs which teachers hold about teaching and learning and knowledge systems create a strong impact on their decisions regarding technology implementation. Teachers who believe in traditional teaching methods which focus on the teacher as the main authority will use technology to support their current practices by showing presentations through software instead of using tools that let students learn through group activities. Constructivist teachers more readily embrace technology enabling student exploration, collaboration, and knowledge construction. Teachers who doubt technological educational benefits will not make an effort to teach with technology because they lack resources (Ertmer, 2005). Educators develop their belief systems through academic training and practical experience which makes these beliefs difficult to change despite professional development programs.

Technology Anxiety and Low Self-Efficacy. The majority of teachers who did not learn to use digital technology during their childhood face technology-based anxiety when they need to use technology for teaching purposes. The psychological barriers to technology integration stem from three factors which include technology failure fears and student competence anxiety and the need for trial-and-error learning that technology demands. Teacher self-efficacy—confidence in one's ability to successfully integrate technology—strongly predicts actual use. Teachers with low technology self-efficacy avoid integration even when resources are available while confident teachers persist through technical difficulties and experiment

with new approaches (Albion, 2001). Technology anxiety shows some correlation with age and teaching experience yet individual differences between people prove to be more significant.

Resistance to Change and Risk Aversion. Teaching operates as a conservative profession because educators depend on established methods for delivering predictable and dependable instruction. Teachers encounter difficulties when they need to adopt new technologies because it requires them to abandon their established practices and give up some authority and face unpredictable results and potential learning setbacks which many educators consider daunting. The established methods that demonstrated success to educators need to be maintained according to their existing expertise. The observed lesson technology failures and administrator visit technology failures make teachers choose safe options because they perceive those technology failures as actual threats. Educational institutions that prioritize standardized procedures and strict adherence to rules create an atmosphere which prevents teachers from testing new approaches and developing new methods to integrate technology into their classrooms. Teachers choose to stay away from dangerous situations which have unpredictable results because evaluation systems will punish them for any mistakes they make (Cuban, Kirkpatrick, & Peck, 2001).

3.3 Institutional and Cultural Barriers

Leadership and Vision. School leaders create pathways for technology integration by establishing their vision together with their resource distribution activities and their development of school culture. The absence of a technology vision leads to disorganized technology implementation. The absence of technology demonstration by administrators together with its exclusion from school improvement strategies sends teachers a message that technology implementation remains optional. Supportive leadership which promotes technology together with its operation and acknowledges innovative achievements establishes positive work environments (Dexter, 2008).

The educational environment in schools together with social connections between students have a strong influence on student conduct. The implementation of new teaching methods occurs through shared teacher practices in educational environments that support teamwork. The practice of isolated teaching restricts teachers from sharing their expertise with others. Demonstration by early adopters enables them to teach their colleagues, but this ability exists only within collaborative work environments. Teachers who conform to peer group standards experience discouragement from interacting with students because of negative student attitudes (Zhao & Frank, 2003).

Assessment and Accountability Pressures. The implementation of high-stakes testing causes schools to lose motivation for implementing integrated teaching methods. Teachers focus on preparing students for tests because standardized score evaluation determines their assessment results. Teachers need more time to discover new teaching methods and collaborate with their colleagues yet they believe they lack sufficient time for these activities. Traditional assessments fail to accurately assess advanced cognitive skills and collaborative abilities which technology enables students to develop (Hermans et al., 2008).

3.4 Student-Related Barriers

The educational process faces obstacles because student factors which teachers need to address remain neglected. Digital divide issues mean some students lack home technology access, creating equity concerns when assignments require outside access. The different technology abilities of students require teachers to use different teaching methods while implementing their technology lessons. The use of technology in classrooms creates more difficult challenges because students can view inappropriate content and need special help for their technical problems. Teachers may avoid technology integration when concerned about exacerbating existing inequities or losing instructional control (Hutchison & Reinking, 2011).

4. Discussion

4.1 Relative Impact and Evolution of Barriers

Research shows that different barrier types experienced changes in their relative importance throughout history. The basic technology access first-order barrier still exists in under-resourced areas but has lost most of its significance because infrastructure development has progressed. Contemporary studies increasingly identify second-order barriers which include teacher beliefs and pedagogical knowledge and self-efficacy and institutional culture as primary obstacles to effective integration (Ertmer et al. 2012). The research shows that technology provision requires teacher training which includes knowledge development and belief change and institutional backing to succeed.

The research demonstrates that various barriers to achievement work together in an intricate manner. First-order obstacles create conditions which make it easier for people to experience second-order barriers. The presence of multiple technology issues leads to increased user anxiety about technology and results in decreased users' self-confidence. The current educational system needs professional development programs which help teachers acquire essential pedagogical skills because they currently believe that technology can only provide limited educational benefits. Teachers who possess strong pedagogical expertise and high self-confidence can resolve technical issues and find innovative solutions to resource restrictions. The interaction between two elements establishes that comprehensive solutions which tackle different barrier types at the same time deliver their best results.

4.2 Implications for Practice

Effective support for teacher technology integration needs to establish complete support systems which surpass resource distribution. Professional development must shift from one-shot workshops to sustained, job-embedded learning which emphasizes pedagogical integration instead of tool operation. The effective models provide coaching and mentoring which pairs teachers with technology integration specialists together with collaborative learning communities that enable peer support and shared experimentation and demonstration lessons which show effective integration and opportunities for teachers to observe colleagues who use technology successfully (Desimone, 2009).

The solution to second-order barriers needs an examination of teacher beliefs together with their self-efficacy. Teachers need professional development that allows them to experience technology-enabled learning as students while they evaluate their teaching methods and study technology's effects on student

achievement. Early technology integration experiences that succeed create greater confidence while they change beliefs more than people who promote technology without any direct experiences. Teachers need safe experimental spaces where they can test new methods without facing assessment penalties because this approach promotes the necessary experimental behavior that drives innovation (Ertmer 2005).

The elements needed for institutional support must receive dedication from leaders who must show their commitment through implementation of a defined strategic direction together with proper distribution of resources and establishment of new organizational practices and execution of regulatory mandates. The complete technological implementation requirements need to be defined by leaders while the evaluation system needs to acknowledge teachers who successfully implement technological methods. The educational institution needs to develop shared planning periods because this schedule adjustment will enable teachers to work together on technology research. The practice of decreasing accountability demands that inhibit teachers from testing new methods enables teachers to experiment with new teaching strategies. The establishment of team-based learning environments requires both structural assistance and the development of cultural standards which enable colleagues to share knowledge with one another (Dexter, 2008).

4.3 Limitations and Future Research

The literature review identifies three limitations because multiple studies depend on self-reported data and publication bias exists toward studies which show barriers instead of successful integration and different research studies define effective technology use with different standards. The research examines current technology through outdated tools and previous technological environments because technology develops at a quick pace. Future research should use longitudinal studies to track teacher experience-based barrier changes whereas researchers should study which professional development models effectively decrease second-order barriers and determine how different contextual factors influence barrier effects. The research which examines equity components through the examination of how barriers impact teachers who work in under-resourced schools and teach marginalized students remains insufficient yet it holds great importance.

5. Conclusion

The current educational system faces difficulties because its teachers do not use technology effectively despite schools having made significant technology investments and providing access to technological resources. First-order barriers which involve resource limitations and technical support gaps and time constraints and inadequate professional development continue to affect teachers while researchers today view second-order barriers as the main hindrances. Teachers need to overcome three main challenges which include their educational beliefs about teaching and learning and their lack of technological pedagogical knowledge and their technology-related anxiety and low self-efficacy and their resistance to change. The internal obstacles of a system combine with institutional elements which include ineffective leadership and a school culture that does not support innovation and the accountability system that prevents new ideas from being tested.

People need to make continuous efforts that address various aspects of their work to succeed in their mission. The combination of technology and fundamental training does not meet the required standards. The

successful methods feature professional development which occurs at work sites and teaches teachers to implement educational methods while developing their teaching technology skills. The coaching system and learning communities offer teachers continuous help through their work. The program develops teacher self-belief through successful initial experiences and self-reflection. Effective instructional leadership develops a precise educational mission which creates an environment for teachers to succeed. Teachers need sufficient planning time for their work because it helps them to think carefully about their instructional activities. The policy alignment process decreases the accountability requirements which prevent organizations from testing new ideas. The organization uses innovation celebrations to create an environment where employees can take calculated risks.

The continuous existence of obstacles after many years of trying to integrate technology shows that organizations require complete organizational changes instead of common incremental solutions. Educational systems need to rethink teacher development by implementing continuous professional development instead of using single training sessions. They need to create school environments that support teachers working together with their colleagues and exploring new teaching methods. They must create accountability systems that support their innovation objectives because they need to understand that successful technology integration requires educators to master advanced teaching methods through ongoing assistance and professional growth activities. The existing barriers that prevent teachers from using technology need to be solved through complete system changes which will allow technology to achieve its full capacity to improve educational outcomes.

References

[1]. Albion, P. R. (2001). Some factors in the development of self-efficacy beliefs for computer use among teacher education students. Journal of Technology and Teacher Education, 9(3), 321-347.

[2]. Baylor, A. L., & Ritchie, D. (2002). What factors facilitate teacher skill, teacher morale, and perceived student learning in technology-using classrooms? Computers & Education, 39(4), 395-414.

[3]. Blackwell, C. K., Lauricella, A. R., & Wartella, E. (2014). Factors influencing digital technology use in early childhood education. Computers & Education, 77, 82-90.

[4]. Cuban, L., Kirkpatrick, H., & Peck, C. (2001). High access and low use of technologies in high school classrooms: Explaining an apparent paradox. American Educational Research Journal, 38(4), 813-834.

[5]. Davis, F. D. (1989). Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology. MIS Quarterly, 13(3), 319-340.

[6]. Desimone, L. M. (2009). Improving impact studies of teachers' professional development: Toward better conceptualizations and measures. Educational Researcher, 38(3), 181-199.

[7]. Dexter, S. (2008). Leadership for IT in schools. In J. Voogt & G. Knezek (Eds.), International handbook of information technology in primary and secondary education (pp. 543-554). Springer.

[8]. Ertmer, P. A. (1999). Addressing first- and second-order barriers to change: Strategies for technology integration. Educational Technology Research and Development, 47(4), 47-61.

[9]. Ertmer, P. A. (2005). Teacher pedagogical beliefs: The final frontier in our quest for technology integration? Educational Technology Research and Development, 53(4), 25-39.

[10]. Ertmer, P. A., Ottenbreit-Leftwich, A. T., Sadik, O., Sendurur, E., & Sendurur, P. (2012). Teacher beliefs and technology integration practices: A critical relationship. Computers & Education, 59(2), 423-435.

[11]. Hermans, R., Tondeur, J., van Braak, J., & Valcke, M. (2008). The impact of primary school teachers' educational beliefs on the classroom use of computers. Computers & Education, 51(4), 1499-1509.

[12]. Hew, K. F., & Brush, T. (2007). Integrating technology into K-12 teaching and learning: Current knowledge gaps and recommendations for future research. Educational Technology Research and Development, 55(3), 223-252.

[13]. Hutchison, A., & Reinking, D. (2011). Teachers' perceptions of integrating information and communication technologies into literacy instruction: A national survey in the United States. Reading Research Quarterly, 46(4), 312-333.

[14]. Koehler, M., & Mishra, P. (2009). What is technological pedagogical content knowledge (TPACK)? Contemporary Issues in Technology and Teacher Education, 9(1), 60-70.

[15]. Kopcha, T. J. (2012). Teachers' perceptions of the barriers to technology integration and practices with technology under situated professional development. Computers & Education, 59(4), 1109-1121.

[16]. Lawless, K. A., & Pellegrino, J. W. (2007). Professional development in integrating technology into teaching and learning: Knowns, unknowns, and ways to pursue better questions and answers. Review of Educational Research, 77(4), 575-614.

[17]. Mishra, P., & Koehler, M. J. (2006). Technological pedagogical content knowledge: A framework for teacher knowledge. Teachers College Record, 108(6), 1017-1054.

[18]. Zhao, Y., & Frank, K. A. (2003). Factors affecting technology uses in schools: An ecological perspective. American Educational Research Journal, 40(4), 807-840.

Cite this Article:

***Dr. Shiv Prakash**, "**Barriers in the Effective Use of Educational Technology by Teachers**" International Journal of Scientific Research in Modern Science and Technology (IJSRMST), ISSN: 2583-7605 (Online), Volume 3, Issue 9, pp. 31-39, September 2024.*

***Journal URL:** https://ijsrmst.com/*

***DOI:** https://doi.org/10.59828/ijsrmst.v3i9.252.*